	K35VCHEC	4/22/20 Tage 1 0/13
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
4	v. ANTHONY CHEEDIE, CHAD ALLEN,	19 CR 833 (SHS)
5	SHANE HANNA, CAMERON BREWSTER, KEVIN HANDREN, JOSEPH CIACCIO, JOSEPH MINETTO, JOSEPH DEPAOLA, DERREK LARKIN, MATTIE CIRILO,	
6		
7	Defendants.	CONFERENCE
8	x	
9		New York, N.Y.
10		March 5, 2020 3:55 p.m.
11		
12	Before:	
13	HON. SIDNEY H. STE	IN,
14		District Judge
15	APPEARANCES	
16		
17	GEOFFREY S. BERMAN, United States Attorney for the	
18	Southern District of New York BENET J. KEARNEY	
19	Assistant United States Attorney	
20	FREDERICK L. SOSINSKY Attorney for Defendant Cheedie	
21	JASON E. FOY	
22	Attorney for Defendant Allen	
23	RICHARD H. ROSENBERG Attorney for Defendant Hanna	
24	RYAN P. POSCABLO Attorney for Defendant Brewster	
25		

K35VCHEC 1 APPEARANCES (continued) 2 E. DANYA PERRY 3 Attorney for Defendant Handren TOMMY H. VU 4 Outgoing Attorney for Defendant Ciaccio 5 NICOLE W. FRIEDLANDER Incoming Attorney for Defendant Ciaccio 6 7 MICHAEL H. SPORN Attorney for Defendant Minetto 8 ZACHARY MARGULIS-OHNUMA Attorney for Defendant DePaola 9 10 BRIAN J. NEARY Attorney for Defendant Larkin 11 MARK I. COHEN 12 Attorney for Defendant Cirilo 13 14 ALSO PRESENT: CHRISTOPHER BASTOS, HSI MARCUS MURPHY, HSI 15 EMMA GREENWOOD 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1	(Case called)	
2	MS. KEARNEY: Good afternoon, your Honor.	
3	Benet Kearney, for the United States.	
4	And also at counsel table is Detective Christopher	
5	Bastos and Special Agent Marcus Murphy of the Department of	
6	Homeland Security, Homeland Security Investigation.	
7	THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon, both of you.	
8	Those in the back of the courtroom may be seated.	
9	I'd like counsel and defendants to remain standing.	
10	Defense.	
11	MR. SOSINSKY: Good afternoon, Judge.	
12	Fred Sosinsky, appearing for defendant Anthony	
13	Cheedie, who is standing behind me, your Honor.	
14	THE COURT: All right.	
15	MR. FOY: May it please the Court, Jason Foy, for	
16	Mr. Chad Allen, who, with the permission of the Court, was	
17	excused from appearing for these proceedings.	
18	THE COURT: Yes. I have your letter here, sir. And	
19	your client is waiving his he's asking not to appear;	
20	correct?	
21	MR. FOY: Exactly. Yes.	
22	THE COURT: All right. Fine. Granted.	
23	Next.	
24	MR. ROSENBERG: Good afternoon, your Honor.	
25	Richard Rosenberg, appearing for Shane Hanna, who is	

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

in the first row and is acknowledging with his hand raised. 1 THE COURT: Yes. Good afternoon. 2 MR. POSCABLO: Good afternoon, your Honor. 3 Ryan Poscablo, on behalf of Cameron Brewster, who your 4 5 Honor has excused. 6 THE COURT: Yes. He wishes to be excused; correct? 7 MR. POSCABLO: Thank you, your Honor. Yes. 8 THE COURT: I've granted that. 9 All right. Next. 10 MS. PERRY: Good afternoon, your Honor. 11 Danya Perry, for defendant Kevin Handren, who is 12 behind me in the first row. 13 THE COURT: Yes. All right. Welcome. 14 Next. 15 MR. VU: Good afternoon, your Honor. 16 Tommy Vu, on behalf of Mr. Joseph Ciaccio, who is not 17

present, in custody, and I've submitted a letter.

THE COURT: Yes. And you're waiving his appearance as well, and he's aware of this conference; correct?

MR. VU: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: And I have a letter from you, sir, Mr. Vu, dated February 26. And you indicate that you're seeking to be relieved for the reasons set forth in the letter. And you're asking for me to appoint the CJA duty -- CJA attorney who's on duty today -- Ms. Blakely -- and that's Ms. Friedlander.

1	Are you here, Ms. Friedlander?
2	MS. FRIEDLANDER: Yes. Good afternoon, your Honor.
3	THE COURT: All right. Welcome.
4	I am now relieving Mr. Vu, with the thanks of the
5	Court, and appointing Ms. Friedlander to represent Mr. Ciaccio
6	MS. FRIEDLANDER: Thank you, your Honor.
7	THE COURT: And Mr. Vu, if you'll fill Ms. Friedlander
8	in on the status of the case and let her know how to reach
9	Mr. Ciaccio.
10	MR. VU: I certainly will, your Honor.
11	THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
12	You are excused, sir, with the appreciation of the
13	Court.
14	MR. VU: Thank you, your Honor.
15	THE COURT: All right. Next.
16	Mr. Sporn.
17	MR. SPORN: Yes. Good afternoon, your Honor.
18	Michael Sporn, for Joseph Minetto. He's right behind
19	me.
20	THE COURT: All right. Welcome.
21	Next.
22	MR. NEARY: Good afternoon, Judge.
23	My name is Brian Neary. I represent Mr. Derrek
24	Larkin. Mr. Larkin is going to raise his right hand.
25	THE COURT: All right. Welcome.

1	MR. MARGULIS-OHNUMA: I'm Zachary Margulis-Ohnuma, 260	
2	Madison Avenue, for the defendant Joseph DePaola.	
3	THE COURT: All right. Good afternoon.	
4	MR. COHEN: Good afternoon, your Honor.	
5	Mark Cohen, on behalf of Mattie Cirilo.	
6	Ms. Cirilo is in the third row standing, your Honor.	
7	THE COURT: All right. Everyone may be seated.	
8	Thank you.	
9	MS. GREENWOOD: And good afternoon, your Honor.	
10	Emma Greenwood, the coordinating discovery attorney.	
11	THE COURT: Yes, Ms. Greenwood. And I received your	
12	status letter, and I appreciate it. Thanks. Sounded like	
13	everything was going forward swimmingly, or at least	
14	adequately.	
15	MS. GREENWOOD: I think that's correct.	
16	THE COURT: That's the first such letter you've	
17	written me. Very nice.	
18	MS. GREENWOOD: Thank you.	
19	THE COURT: You've written other letters, I just mean	
20	this one seemed to have no problems.	
21	MS. GREENWOOD: Thank you.	
22	THE COURT: All right. Government?	
23	MS. KEARNEY: Thank you, your Honor.	
24	THE COURT: Speak to me.	
25	MS. KEARNEY: I just wanted to give your Honor a brief	

update on the discovery status. It sounds like Ms. Greenwood has filled you in.

THE COURT: Well, it's a letter. I assume -- is it publicly filed?

MS. KEARNEY: I don't think I've received it.

MS. GREENWOOD: It is not, your Honor. It is exparte.

THE COURT: All right. Fine.

So be more fulsome then, from the standpoint of the government.

MS. KEARNEY: Thank you, your Honor.

So since we were last here, the government has made three productions to date, totaling approximately 35 gigabytes of material. I'll asterisk that and come back to it in just one second. Those productions include most of the legal process sought and obtained in this case, a significant volume of audio recordings, a Google drive that was used to store victim documents, and about 25,000 pages of financial records.

The reason I asterisked that production is a large portion of the volume is mbox files, that is, email files, the contents of email accounts. I've been informed by Ms. Greenwood that she is having difficulty accessing and opening those files to distribute to defense counsel. So we are actively working to figure out what the issue is and how we can solve it.

Just to preview what's coming down the road, I think we're making steady progress, but there is a volume of discovery that remains to be produced. I expect by the end of next week we will be producing nine additional emails/drive accounts that were used in furtherance of this scheme.

There are also, in the course of search warrants that were executed, hard copy documents were seized with respect to two locations. Scans of those documents have already been produced. And with respect to the remaining locations, there are 23 boxes total of documents that are in the process of being scanned and will be distributed on a rolling basis as that is completed.

As your Honor is aware, there's also a significant volume of electronic devices that have been seized and are in the process of being imaged in connection with this case.

We've provided charts broken down by search location of the various devices, their imaging, and report status, and invited defense counsel to request both prioritization of which devices they would like to have imaged first and, once those images and reports are complete, which they would like to receive copies of.

Unless your Honor has further questions, that's my summary.

THE COURT: What's your timetable to complete the discovery?

MS. KEARNEY: I don't have a timetable for the scanned documents; that's up to the contractor. We're pushing them to move as quickly as possible.

For the electronic devices, it's a bit up in the air, as it depends on how quickly the lab can get through security measures, the volume of the devices, things like that. So I can't give a precise estimate.

If I can have just one moment to consult with the agents?

THE COURT: Yes.

(Counsel and agents conferred)

MS. KEARNEY: With respect to the electronic devices,
I don't want to make a firm commitment, your Honor, because
things happen, particularly in the world of technology, but we
think we can have the devices imaged within three months.

That said, to the extent that there are devices that defense counsel feel are particularly important to them, if they will let us know which those are, we will put that in front of the line and concentrate on those.

THE COURT: All right. So I take it all you're doing is asking for a further status conference.

MS. KEARNEY: That's my request, yes.

THE COURT: Okay. Are any of the defense counsel who want to say anything? It seems to me that things are moving forward, and we should have a status conference perhaps in two

months. That may encourage the government to try to get the imaging done before three months.

Is there anyone that wants to add anything?

MR. SOSINSKY: Sure, Judge, if I could.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. SOSINSKY: We --

THE COURT: Tell the reporter who you are.

MR. SOSINSKY: Sure. Fred Sosinsky, counsel for Anthony Cheedie.

Defense counsel conferenced telephonically last week to make sure that we were essentially all on the same page in terms of what it looked like additional time would be based on what we've gotten so far. We weren't sure what was to be produced. And then before the court appearance, we did speak with the government.

We would suggest, rather than come back in a couple of months, that your Honor see us next in about four months, in 120 days. There is going to be produced, Judge, what looks like perhaps twice as much data as there was in the prior prosecution-related case that your Honor presided over. So we have all of that stuff, which itself necessitated any number of adjournments so that counsel could go through it, it could be produced. And then we have all of the additional items from this case, which, as I say, my understanding in speaking to Ms. Greenwood, is that it's probably the same amount of data

that we have to go through.

So we are all working on this. But I think, especially given that you just heard, it may well take the better part of three months for them to be able to provide the electronics to us, that it makes more sense for us to come in a little bit later so that hopefully we're at the end point in terms of production at least, and we can tell you if we require any additional time to go through all of this.

THE COURT: Everybody is out; is that right? There's nobody detained?

MR. SOSINSKY: I think that -- yes. Yes.

THE COURT: What's the position of the government?

MS. KEARNEY: I think, your Honor, given the volume that we expect to produce, I don't remember if I mentioned that we expect to produce nine email accounts next week.

THE COURT: I think you did.

MS. KEARNEY: I think, given the volume, it's an appropriate request.

THE COURT: All right. But you see one of the reasons I want to bring you in earlier is, first, to make sure I'm keeping tabs on the case; and secondly, to exert some pressure on the government to speed it up. Because if I were to give everybody — say, Come back in six months, everybody would go and do other things, and the government would relax as well. And I don't want that to happen.

MS. KEARNEY: Yes, I've heard your Honor's subtle message, yes.

THE COURT: All right.

Four months, I'll grant the defense request.

All right?

July 7, 4 p.m. I'm doing this because there's nobody incarcerated and the defense is requesting it.

But let's get all the discovery done before that, everything produced by the government. Then we'll see where we're going. And in an ideal world, in enough time for the defense to be able to at least skim the discovery and see what they are thinking about.

All right. Status report, pretrial conference for status, July 7, 4 p.m.

Government, anything else?

MS. KEARNEY: Yes. The government requests that time be excluded from now until then so that we can complete our discovery production and defense can review it.

THE COURT: All right. The government has requested from now until then exclusion of the -- which would be July 7th. Is there any defense attorney who objects?

All right. Hearing none, I hereby grant the motion of the government, which I deem to have been made pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 3161(h)(7)(A), for an exclusion of time from today until July 7, 2020.

I do make the finding that the ends of justice outweigh the interest of the public and each of the defendants in a speedy trial. The purpose is to enable the discovery to continue on a rolling basis, to make its discovery obligations. There's apparently many gigabytes of information.

The purpose is to enable that to go forward, and the defense to analyze the discovery and, if the parties so choose, to engage in discussions regarding the feasibility of a consensual resolution; although that may be too early, it may be too early for that. I leave that to the parties.

I'll see everyone on July 7 at 4 p.m. And if things really go swimmingly, anybody can request that the conference be moved closer to today.

Is there anything else from the defense?

All right. Thank you, all. I appreciate it.

* * *